FIFTH DAY.—*Thursday, 5th October 1933.*

The House re-assembled at 12 NOON.

Before the day's proceedings commenced, MR. P. SUBBARAMA CHETTY brought to the notice of Government that some of the speeches made by the members of the House during the last Birthday Session had been reported *verbatim* in the Kannada edition of the official proceedings, that on enquiry he had been told that this was rendered possible on account of the recent appointment of a Kannada Reporter in the office, that it would be useful to have more speeches—and if possible the entire proceedings—put in in full, for which more Kannada Reporters would be necessary, and that for this purpose it would be desirable to appoint one or two more Kannada Reporters. There were trained men available and they were graduates of the Mysore University. By appointing them, the Government would not only be helping members to have a full record of the proceedings of the House but also encouraging a newly invented local system of shorthand, the want of which had been felt for long.

CAPT. Y. V. AYYA thanked the Government for having appointed one Kannada Reporter and requested that one or two more might be appointed.

MR. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR said that if more Kannada Reporters were appointed, the present intricate process of the Reporters simultaneously translating and taking down the Kannada speeches as and when delivered and of the Kannada Translator to Government again retranslating them into Kannada would be avoided. The speeches included in full had been very accurately reported and the appointment of more Kannada Reporters was fully warranted.

MR. D. S. MALLAPPA thought that it might be possible to give a *verbatim* report of the entire proceedings of the House if there were a sufficient number of Kannada Reporters.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT said that it was not necessary or practicable to publish a *verbatim* report of the entire proceedings.

MR. P. SUBBARAMA CHETTY urged that at least the more important speeches or discussions on subjects of more

than ordinary interest should be put in in full, and for that one Reporter would not suffice.

THE DEWAN promised to consider the question of appointing one more Kannada Reporter.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—(*Continued*).

MR. M. B. KAPPANNA GOWDA (Turuvekere Sub-Taluk).—

Q.—21. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The number of primary schools with the number of students who were studying in these schools in each district before the control over primary education was transferred from Government to the District Board?

(*b*) The number of schools that increased since the management was undertaken by the District Board with the number of students studying in these schools up to June 1933?

(*c*) The number of schools proposed for the fulfilment of the object of the Primary Education Regulation?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—21. (*a*) The number of primary schools in the State was 5,857 with a strength of 222,816 on 31st March 1931, *i.e.*, three months before the transfer of control was effected. Districtwar information is not readily available.

(*b*) Information is not readily available.

(*c*) As programmes of educational expansion have not yet been received from the Local Education Authorities, it is not possible to furnish this information.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. Kappanna Gowda.—

With reference to answer to (*c*), when did the Government ask the Local Education Authorities to send up their schemes of educational expansion?

Secretary to Government, General Department.—

About 1½ years back.

Mr. Kappanna Gowda.—

Have none of them sent up their schemes till now? If not, have you reminded them?